# An Enhancement Log Normal Shadowing Model to Estimate 5G Propagation Path Loss for the Indoor Environment

Ahmed. H. Bin Alabish<sup>#1</sup>, Hana Ali Mohammed<sup>\*2</sup>, . Manal Abraheem Ghoumah<sup>\*3</sup>, Ali S Dowa<sup>#4</sup>, Amer Daeri, *S. Member, IEEE*<sup>\*5</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Computer Department, Libyan Higher Education Academy and Tripoli, Libya
 <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Education, Zawia University and Zawia, Libya
 <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Zawia University and Zawia, Libya

<sup>1</sup>ahmed.cs@academy.edu.ly, <sup>2</sup>manalgoma90@gmail.com <u><sup>3</sup>hana.elmehdi@gmail.com</u>, <sup>4</sup>ali.dowa@zu.edu.ly, <sup>5</sup>amer.daeri@zu.edu.ly

Abstract— This paper presents a comprehensive study of modelling human body blockage (the most critical challenges in fifth-generation (5G)) effects on indoor millimetre wave (mmWave) communication links at 32.5 GHz, a key frequency for 5G networks. Through controlled experiments in a laboratory environment, we analyse signal attenuation as a human subject obstructs the line-of-sight (LOS) path between transmitter and receiver, recording received power at incremental positions. To model the observed phenomena, we propose a hybrid framework integrating deterministic and statistical components: (1) a modified Double Knife-Edge Diffraction (DKED) model with Gaussian-shaped blockage attenuation (20.8 dB peak at full blockage) and reflection-induced signal enhancement (-15.0 dB peak from nearby objects), and (2) a log-normal shadowing component ( $\sigma = 11.8$ dB) capturing environmental randomness. Our results reveal strong agreement between simulations and measurements, achieving a mean absolute error of 3.2 dB and a correlation coefficient  $R^2 = 0.89$ . The analysis demonstrates that human-induced diffraction dominates near the LOS centre, while multipath reflections significantly alter signal strength at peripheral positions. We further derive practical guidelines for 5G network design, recommending a 44.4 dB link budget safety margin to account for combined blockage and shadowing effects. This work advances indoor mmWaves channel modelling by unifying physics-based diffraction analysis with empirical reflection characterization, the framework achieves strong experimental validation and offers actionable insights for 5G network design.

Keywords-mmWaves, blockage, DKED, attenuation, shadowing

## I. INTRODUCTION

The exponential growth in mobile data demand has propelled millimetre-wave (mmWave) frequencies into the forefront of fifth-generation (5G) and beyond wireless systems, offering multi-Gbps data rates through wide bandwidths [1]. However, mmWave signals are highly susceptible to blockage by common obstacles, particularly the human body, which can attenuate signals by 20–30 dB in indoor environments [2]. This vulnerability necessitates accurate characterization of human-induced shadowing to ensure reliable link budgets and beamforming strategies. While prior studies have investigated blockage effects at mmWave frequencies, most focus on outdoor scenarios or simplified static models, leaving a critical gap in dynamic indoor channel modelling that accounts for both diffraction and reflection phenomena.

Early work by Rappaport et al. [3] established foundational mmWave propagation models, demonstrating severe attenuation from human blockage at 28 GHz and 73 GHz. Subsequent studies extended these findings through theoretical and experimental analyses. For instance, MacCartney et al. [4] applied the Double Knife-Edge Diffraction (DKED) model to human blockage, achieving reasonable accuracy but neglecting environmental reflections and body movement dynamics. At 60 GHz, Karadimas et al. [5] characterized human shadowing using Fresnel zones but reported discrepancies >8 dB in dynamic scenarios due to multipath interference.

Recent efforts have emphasized frequency-specific analyses. Alabish et al. [6] measured human blockage at 32 GHz, revealing position-dependent attenuation patterns but omitting reflection effects. Similarly, Dalveren and Alabish. [7] proposed a simplified DKED-based model for 28 GHz indoor links but did not account for

signal enhancement from nearby scatterers. Notably, Obayashi and Zander [8] highlighted the role of reflections in body-shadowed channels, though their work focused on sub-6 GHz frequencies. Despite these advances, existing models inadequately address two deterministic components (Blockage Attenuation, Reflection Enhancement) and Random Shadowing for NLOS and LOS scenarios critical aspects of indoor mmWave systems:

- 1. Dynamic Blockage Geometry: Human movement introduces time-varying diffraction and reflection paths.
- 2. Reflection-Dominated Enhancement: Nearby objects (e.g., walls, furniture) significantly alter received power during partial blockage.

This study presents a comprehensive hybrid model for indoor mmWave communication systems at 32.5 GHz, rigorously addressing the interplay of human-induced blockage, reflection-assisted recovery, and environmental stochasticity.

In section II details the experimental setup and measurement methodology. Section III derives the hybrid model, while Section IV conclusions

## II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY

This section details the experimental framework used to characterize human body blockage effects in a 32.5 GHz indoor channel. The methodology combines controlled measurements with rigorous calibration to isolate and quantify dynamic shadowing phenomena.

## A. Hardware Configuration

- Signal Generation & Analysis: Transmitter (Tx): Keysight E8244A signal generator (250 kHz–40 GHz) set to 32.5 GHz with -15 dBm output power. Receiver (Rx): Keysight E4448A spectrum analyser (3 Hz– 50 GHz) configured with a 2.4 KHz bandwidth.
- Antennas: Two identical PE9850/2F-20 horn antennas with 18 dBi gain, 18.3° horizontal beamwidth, and 16.7° vertical beamwidth. Mounted at 1 m height on tripods to simulate access point placement in indoor environments. Separated by 2 m to establish a line-of-sight (LOS) link as shown in Figure 1a.



Figure 1a: Traversed perpendicular to the LOS path from 0.4 m (edge of link) to 0.0 m (full blockage) in 10 cm increments

- Cabling: Low-loss cables (10 dB total loss) with phase-stable connectors are used.
- Laboratory Environment Dimensions: 8 m (length)  $\times$  6 m (width)  $\times$  3 m (height). Key Obstacles as shown in Figure 1b.
- B. Human Blockage Scenario
  - Human Blockage Scenario: Subject Profile: Adult male, 1.75 m height, 0.47 m shoulder width. He traversed perpendicular to the LOS path from 0.4 m (edge of link) to 0.0 m (full blockage) in 10 cm increments (21 positions). A Laser-guided alignment ensured consistent positioning relative to the Tx-Rx axis as shown in figure 1a.

## C. Measurement Protocol

• Full Blockage: Human subject stood stationary at x=0.0 m (LOS midpoint), blocking the link for 30 seconds, and Partial Blockage: Subject positioned at x=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4 m for 10 seconds each.

Copyright © 2025 ISSN: 2961-6611



Figure 1b: Laboratory Environment Dimensions.

#### D. Path Loss Calculation

• Measured Path Loss Calculation:

$$PL_{measured} = p_t + G_t + G_r - P_r \quad (1)$$

Where  $p_t$  is transmitted power [dB],  $G_t, G_r$  are the gain of antennas [dBi] and  $P_r$  is received power [dB].

• Theoretical Free-Space Path Loss (FSPL):

$$FSPL(dB) = 20 \log_{10}\left(\frac{4\pi d}{\lambda}\right)$$
(2)

Where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the signal d is the distance between the TX and RX

Shadowing Loss Extraction:

$$X_{\sigma} = PL_{measured} - FSPL[dB]$$
(3)

Where Positive  $X_{\sigma}$  Attenuation (blockage-dominated) and Negative  $X_{\sigma}$  Enhancement (reflection-dominated).

#### III. PROPOSED MODEL FOR INDOOR 5G COMMUNICATION

This section provides the details of the model used for the measurement scenario. However, before diving into the specifics, a brief explanation of an example of a common and practical path loss model is the log normal shadowing free-space model. This model is widely used to show how the system may be impacted by a channel that is assessed by the environment [9] [10] [11], expressed as:

$$PL(dB) = PL(d0) + 10n \log_{10} \left(\frac{d}{d0}\right) + X_{\sigma}$$
or
$$PL(d) = FSPL + X_{\sigma} \quad wher \ X_{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad (5)$$

where d is the distance in meters and PL(d0) represents the free-space path loss (FSPL) at the reference distance d0, and the linear slope n is called the path loss exponent (PLE). Here,  $X_{\sigma}$ , which reflects a random shadow fading (SF) effect, is a Gaussian random variable (dB) with mean 0 and standard deviation  $\sigma$ .

#### A. Proposed model

Considering the role of TX-RX disconnection distance and path loss valuation adjustment, our research proposed the following general propagation model based on two deterministic components (Blockage Attenuation, Reflection Enhancement) and Random Shadowing for NLOS and LOS scenarios:

• Blockage Attenuation: The deterministic loss  $X_{\sigma}(x)$  is modelled using a Gaussian function as:

Copyright © 2025 ISSN: 2961-6611

$$X_{blockage}(x) = X_{peak} \cdot e^{-k(x-x_{peak})^2}$$
(6)

Where  $X_{peak}$  is Peak loss at full blockage,  $x_{peak}$  is Position of maximum attenuation and k is Decay factor, k = 75 m<sup>-2</sup>, calibrated to match the attenuation slope.

• Reflection Enhancement: it is about accounts for signal enhancement due to reflection, this happen when the value of shadowing is positive, and its modelled as:

$$X_{reflection}(x) = X_{peak enhancment} e^{-k(x-x_{peak})^2}$$
(7)

Where  $X_{peak}$  is Peak enhancement at signal enhancement,  $X_{peak}$  is Position of maximum attenuation and k is Decay factor,  $k = 50 \text{ m}^2$ , calibrated to match the attenuation slope.

• Random Shadowing : In our model, random shadowing (denoted as  $X_{random}$ ) represents unpredictable, large-scale signal variations caused by environmental factors that are not explicitly modelled by the deterministic components (blockage attenuation and reflection enhancement). This modelled as :

$$X_{random} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \sigma = 11.8 \,\mathrm{dB}$$
 (8)

Where Standard deviation  $\sigma$  derived from residuals between deterministic predictions and measurements.

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{\sigma,i}$$
(9)
$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{\sigma,i} - \mu)^2}$$
(10)

• Total Path Loss Model:

$$PL_{total}(x) = FSPL + X_{blockage}(x) + X_{refrection}(x) + X_{random}$$
(11)  
• Received Power Equation:

The received power is calculated as:

$$P_{r} = \underbrace{P_{t} + G_{t} + G_{r}}_{Effective Power} - \underbrace{Total Path Loss}_{FSPL + Blockage + Reflection}$$
(12)

#### B. Result and Discussion

The Gaussian attenuation profile  $(X_{blockage}(x))$  underscores the severe impact of human blockage at mmWave frequencies, consistent with prior studies reporting 20–30 dB loss for torso obstruction at 28–60 GHz. in this research, the sharp decay (k=75 m^-2) highlights the spatial specificity of mmWave beams, where minor displacements for example 0.1 m significantly alter signal strength as shown in figure (2). Conversely, the reflection term  $(X_{reflection}(x))$  demonstrates how controlled multipath can mitigate blockage. The -15.0 dB enhancement at x=0.3 m exemplifies environment-specific signal recovery, likely from specular reflections off the lab desk. This aligns with mmWave propagation studies advocating intentional reflectors like metal surfaces to enhance coverage in obstructed environments. The high shadowing deviation ( $\sigma$ =11.8 dB) exceeds traditional sub-6 GHz values ( $\sigma$ =4:8 dB), emphasizing mmWave's sensitivity to environmental dynamics, figure (3). The total path loss framework developed in this work, integrating deterministic and stochastic components, provides critical insights into the challenges and opportunities of

indoor mmWave communications at 32.5 GHz. It achieves strong agreement with experimental measurements as shown in figure (4). The analysis of received power (Pr) in this study reveals critical insights into the interplay between deterministic signal degradation (blockage), reflection-assisted recovery, and environmental randomness in indoor mmWave communications. The received power analysis in this study bridges the gap between deterministic millimetre wave physics and the real-world environment in a stochastic manner. By identifying how human blockage, reflections, and shadows collectively shape Pr as shown in Figure (5), we provide a roadmap for designing a robust indoor millimetre wave network.



Figure 3: Gaussian shadowing model vs measurements (32.5 GHz Human Blockage)



Figure 3: PDF of random shadowing components



#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

This study presents a comprehensive hybrid model for indoor mmWave communication systems at 32.5 GHz, rigorously addressing the interplay of human-induced blockage, reflection-assisted recovery, and environmental stochasticity. By unifying deterministic physics with statistical shadowing, the framework achieves strong experimental validation and offers actionable insights for 5G network design.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Pi and F. Khan, "An introduction to millimeter-wave mobile broadband systems," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 101–107, Jun. 2011.
- [2] T. S. Rappaport et al., "Millimeter wave mobile communications for 5G cellular," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 4383–4397, Oct. 2013.
- [3] T. Wu et al., "The human body and millimeter-wave wireless communication systems: Interactions and implications," \*Proc. IEEE ICC\*, pp. 2423–2429, Jun. 2015.
- [4] G. R. MacCartney et al., "Millimeter wave human blockage at 73 GHz with a simple double knife-edge diffraction model," Proc. IEEE VTC-Fall, Sep. 2016.
- [5] P. Karadimas et al., "Human body shadowing characterization for 60-GHz indoor short-range wireless links," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 12, pp. 1650–1653, 2013.
- [6] Alabish et al., "Measurement system for indoor millimeter wave propagation at 28–33 GHz," \*Proc. IEEE MI-STA\*, May 2021.
- [7] Y. Dalveren and Alabish "A simplified model for characterizing the effects of scattering objects and human body blocking indoor links at 28 GHz," \*IEEE Access\*, vol. 7, pp. 69687–69691, 2020.
- [8] S. Obayashi and J. Zander, "A body-shadowing model for indoor radio communication environments," \*IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.\*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 920–927, Jun. 1998.
- [9] Zakeri H, Shirazi RS, Moradi G. An accurate model to estimate 5g propagation path loss for the indoor environment. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.10057. 2023 Feb 20.
- [10] Wojcicki P, Zientarski T, Charytanowicz M, Lukasik E. Estimation of the path-loss exponent by bayesian filtering method. Sensors. 2021 Mar 10;21(6):1934.
- [11] Vallet García JM. Characterization of the log-normal model for received signal strength measurements in real wireless sensor networks. Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks. 2020 Feb 9;9(1):12.