What Cluster Model for the Competitiveness of Tunisian Companies?

Mohamed Bouhari, Rafika Khabbouchi, Yamina MATHLOUTHI

Mohamed Bouhari, Faculty of economics and managment, El Manar university, Tunis, Tunisia; mohabouh@yahoo.com Rafika Khabbouchi, ESPRIT Private School of Engeneering and Technology, El Ghazzala, Tunisia; khabbouchi_rk@yahoo.fr Yamina Mathlouthi, SUPCOM, Higher School of Communications, El Ghazala, Tunisia, yamina.mathlouthi@yahoo.fr

Abstract— This paper gives purpose to identify the factors of the businesses, research laboratories and training institutions, to constitution of "the Tunisian cluster" in an environment open to allow Tunisian companies to take a position in their fields in competition. It is a contribution to the debate on the importance Tunisia and abroad. Despite the multiplication of groupings of clusters for competitiveness of small and medium enterprises and constitutes an encouraging sign, the number of clusters is (SMEs) to make more innovative and competitive regions and to promote strategically important sectors in technology.

Approaches based on the knowledge economy grew by better integrating forms of proximity, organizational, Cluster Launcher? What conditions encourage them to institutional and geographical, (Torre and Rallet 2005) and participate? And what are the key factors for a successful relational (Boshma, 2005).

An empirical study was conducted on a sample of Information and Communication Technologies ICTs'companies. main stages of the formation of a cluster" the Tunisian", The results show that the lack of attractiveness of ICT Tunisian formed mostly by SMEs. This will allow us to better companies to form clusters is not due to a lack of suitable understand the debate as to the tension in the cluster policy infrastructure but to the absence of relations involved in a partnership approach or localized nature between higher education, research centers, industry training and organization, in science-industry research networks. enabling to carry out scientific and technical projects.

Keys words: Clusters, technology centers, geographical proximity, organized proximity.

INTRODUCTION

laboratories ...) called "clusters". This interest in clusters is innovation and competitiveness of firms and regions.

Clusters are defined as a process of concentration of firms in a domain and in a given territory. They rely on collaborations between firms, research centers and public "geography of innovation" has provided since the early 1990s, authorities. As Antonelli stressed, (2000); Massard and Torre (2004); Duranton et al. (2008), competitiveness clusters and technology parks are the will of the networking of innovation (Rosenthal and Strange, 2004), but indicated that the stakeholders - industry, research and training - on a geographic dimension is intricately linked to other mechanisms geographical space in order to encourage the emergence of local synergies in innovation.

In Tunisia, the process of the formation of clusters appointed clusters originated in the mid 2000. It focused on three sectors, namely textiles and clothing, food and technologies information and communication (ICT). The

still limited today.

Tunisian companies are - they ready to engage in the cluster?

This will be the purpose of this article, tracing the between territorial logic anchor companies and logic insertion

The elements of the innovative cluster as research organizations, custodians of knowledge and intensive service companies have questioned the geographical proximity as the sole determinant of cluster-wide (Preissl and Solimene, 2003).

An econometric literature, listed later in the "geography of innovation" has provided since the early 1990s, For years, economic policies in developed countries a systematic approach to localized phenomena. This literature are oriented towards strengthening the capacity to innovate has confirmed the localized nature of knowledge spillovers based on geographical grouping of organizations (companies, (Rosenthal and Strange, 2004), but indicated that the geographic dimension is intricately linked to other mechanisms related to the discovery of the importance of geographical such as technological proximity, interpersonal and labor proximity, institutional and organizational structures, the mobility. Other forms of cognitive proximity, institutional, dissemination of knowledge, skilled human capital to promote organizational or social (Loilier and Tellier, 2004) can support within the cluster innovation process (Boschma, 2005; Torre and Rallet, 2005).

> An econometric literature, listed later in the a systematic approach to localized phenomena. This literature has confirmed the localized nature of knowledge spillovers such as technological proximity, interpersonal and labor mobility. Other forms of cognitive proximity, institutional, organizational or social (Loilier and Tellier, 2004) can support within the cluster innovation process (Boschma, 2005; Torre and Rallet, 2005).

This article includes three sections. After this objective was to develop synergies and cooperation between introduction, the first section is the question of the

Vol.4, 2016 - pp. 1-6

identification of clusters. The second section will return to some theoretical foundations of dynamic clusters of factors and present their emergence and the main empirical identification methods implemented. The third section presents the model used to identify the conditions for success of a cluster. This last section will give way to a brief conclusion.

II. OVERVIEW of CLUSTER DEVELOPMENT **TRAJECTORIES**

A. Elements of definition

The cluster is a network that develops in a given territory. It is often considered as a system that is strengthened from within, and which produces wealth endogenously in a given territory. According Rallet & Torre (2005), the cluster is characterized by the existence of a geographical and relational proximity. It highlights collective inter -institutional cooperation and performance related to spatial proximity and density of social and professional relationships. It is this framework to understand the drivers of economic competitiveness of regions and nations.

The available literature on clusters highlighted many successes in several countries. The government has played a facilitating role in promoting cooperation and innovation within clusters. The concept of cluster refers first to the United dynamics of cluster structuring falls relatively antagonistic States to an industry geographic concentration of actors dichotomous logic (Bresnahan et al 2004.Fromhold- Eisebith connected by often spontaneous business networks. In some and Eisebith, 2005; Chiaroni and Chiesa, 2006; Casper, 2007). countries - Mexico, Brazi, India, Peru, Taiwan, the term cluster For years, we are witnessing the emergence of a new form of refers to spatial concentrations of small and medium industrial organization in which clustering strategies are enterprises competing or complementary activities on more or structuring. For this reason, we will discuss a logic beyond the less specialized segments, and achieving results also significant traditional boundaries of clusters, highlighting the key role of in terms contribution to national sectoral output and exports.

by the government. In France, the clustering process started in nearby. This logical approach than purely "spatial" of the 2005. At the end of reconciliations and labeling of new problem of clusters, which gives more importance to social clusters, 71 competitiveness clusters existed in 2007.

In Africa, the clusters have the characteristics of being less numerous, being smaller and less developed. The few approach proximiste (Bouba Olga O. J. and Zimmermann, industrial platforms do not constitute genuine clusters and are 2004). In an economy characterized by high mobility of close to the points of single reduction activities

B. The different forms of Cluster

creation of embryos for synergy between pre- existing geographic and organized (Rallet A. and A. Torre, 2004; Caron businesses. Clusters can be divided into three categories: tradi- A. and A. Torre, 2004). The physical proximity " deals with clusters, cluster - planning and the neo- clusters.

Categories	Characteristics		
Tradi-	This form reflects the initial conditions of		
Cluster	the industrialization process: exploitation		
	of human and natural resources, small and medium enterprises founded by local entrepreneurs (family capital) and based on simple technology and traditional know-how (Schmitz and Nadvi, 1999).		
Plani- Cluster	This form reflects a new reality in the context of a deliberate economic		
	development operation. It is based on an agglomeration project (public project)		

	which is funded by the government and run by a local institution (Curlier, Samson, 2006). Manufacturing sectors are the first concerned. This is to build private-private or public-private partnerships by initiating a sharing collective resources or infrastructure.
Neo- Cluster	This form relates to the grouping of companies specialized in high-end products and / or competitive internationally. The concern of the training of skilled labor and technological improvement motivates the competitors to regroup. Sharing of infrastructure and common service organization performing subcontractor network are also present. So this is the most advanced form of emerging clusters.

C. Determinants of clusters: Theoretical study

In most work on clusters, the emergence and the combination of different forms of proximity (cognitive, In Europe, a relatively recent development is pushed organizational, social, institutional) in the form of an organized networks, trust, reputation, culture and rules.

Analyzes in terms of proximity to the proposed businesses and individuals, proximity plays an important role especially for business innovation and cooperation. A The reality of the clusters in the field began with the distinction is made between two main forms of proximity: the separation in space and links in terms of distance " while organized proximity " deals with the separation in space and links in terms of organization " (J. Gilly and Torre A., 2000).

Geographical proximity is a discriminating component since a dense conglomeration of companies attracts buyers,

The significance of this distinction lies in the crossanalysis of these two forms of proximity in order to understand the interactions between actors (businesses, institutions etc.) and territories.

To deepen the concept of organized proximity, Gilly and Lung Y. J. (2004) mobilized an explicitly institutional approach to distinguish two dimensions: organizational and institutional organized in the vicinity. Organisational proximity

Vol.4, 2016 - pp. 1-6

takes " to additional resources held by potentially capable and para-public bodies, local authorities....). Based on socioactors to participate in the same activity finalized meso-political institutions and specific networks (Angeon 2006, De economic nature, within the same organization (large group) Bernardy 2000). or a group of organizations (cooperation network sector business, local productive system ...) "(J. Gilly and Lung Y., components: specialization, cooperation, closeness and 2004). Institutional proximity is based in turn on "the agglomeration effects. Similarly, human and cultural adherence of actors to common action rules, explicit or implicit community and the socio- professional environment are seen as (habits) and in some situations, a common system of supporting confidence among agents, as part of the representations or values ' (Gilly and Lung J. Y., 2004).

Organized proximity becomes a necessity as collaborate remotely located.

(branding, naming, etc.), support or state supervision (public Tunisia.

ANALYSIS

In this section we discuss, First of all, the existence of clusters of regions in Tunisia. Next, we describe the greater Tunis area characteristics of the three areas that allow us to study the models of the determinants of clusters.

A. Clusters Tunisia: Towards a functional typology draft

5700 companies with higher substantive or equal to 10 jobs. group (34 companies prefer not to get to a group). All More than 2,600 of them are totally exporting. One in five is companies contacted are the technology sector. totally exporting in the branches of aircraft components. electrical and electronic cars. In recent years, leaders have interdependencies may exist between the variables of the adopted an approach to change the model of the consortium in questionnaire we undertook a series of non-parametric the cluster model. The objective was to facilitate the creation statistical tests known as chi test name two. and development of innovative activities based on trade and cooperation between businesses, research centers and training disprove the existence of a statistically significant relationship institutions.

technical centers and 10 competitiveness clusters and significantly dependent. technology parks specializing in food processing, mechanical, electrical and electronic industries, technologies information and communication, biotechnology and healthcare industries, environment and renewable energy finally textiles and clothing

The ten clusters or operational technology parks are spread over the regions (Ariana, Borj Cedria, Sidi Thabet, Sousse, Sfax, Monastir, Bizerte, Gafsa, Gabes and Medenine)

The animation team of competitiveness clusters have favored the appearance of some clusters (CMT: Cluster characteristics of businesses made the event, especially the Mechatronics Tunisia CTTT: Cluster of technical textiles in Tunisia, Renewable and dairy products) while others are being set up (Clothing Biotechnologies, Eco and ICT industries) (Source: IPEMED). Indeed the management of each pole is provided by a company that provides the single desk and priority to offering quality services to businesses.

Despite these initiatives, the emergence of clusters was limited and several factors make it difficult to establish a

To varying degrees, we accept four of basic cluster dissemination of knowledge and exchange of skills.

In order to make rapid progress towards the goal of neighboring firms may be in conflict, while others can our analysis, we assume that these favorable factors are perfectly transferable to the reality of the emerging countries A cluster usually has a specific image and a reputation that have not reached the stage of developed economy like

stable cluster mapping. To study the determinants of the III. DETERMINANTS of CLUSTERS: EMPIRICAL formation of a cluster were selected ICT companies located in Greater Tunis. This study is based on a survey by the IRMC.

B. Description and specific technology clusters in the

The empirical analysis of the main determinants of determinants of clusters. In the end, we present the enterprises clusters in the Greater Tunis is based on field methodology, recalling the econometric method used in the research through interviews conducted (in 2007 and updated in 2013) in 94 companies located in three main areas: Elghazala Berg Lake and Charguia.

The selection of companies was based geographical representation - these three areas represent The industrial fabric of Tunisia has approximately important poles - and the disposal of the company belong to a

To facilitate economic analysis of certain

The two chi test (chi square test) can prove or of cause and effect between two variables whether quantitative, To animate the clusters, there was the establishment qualitative or mixed nature. A first digital test result leads us to of 241 laboratories and 174 scientific research units, 8 accept dependency. The two variables, area and group are

Table I: Relationship and Area Group

Group	Area Choice			
	Charguia	El Ghazala	Berge du Lac	Total
0	4	16	14	34
1	30	11	19	60
Total	34	27	33	94

Pearson chi2(2)=15,565 et Prob=0,00

A second result of the study concerns the main activities. The business is a classical variable that determines the behavior of companies. Dies, Industry Telecom, Computer Manufacturer, SSII, Label Software, Computer and Internet companies are owned by 78 companies. Note that these industries consume highly intensive inputs into knowledge and produce goods or services with high added value in knowledge. The relatively intangible nature of inputs /

Vol.4, 2016 - pp. 1-6

outputs determines a growing responsiveness to knowledge infrastructure (24 companies) and services (31 companies). In externalities.

The sector is analyzed in terms of technological externalities. intensity, so the companies belonging to the high-tech sector and intensive services knowledge (the tech variable) are more requirement is a strong factor anchor for a cluster based on the innovative than those belonging to the low-tech or low service externalities of knowledge, motivation residing in the knowledge intensity. However, in sectors with high membership of a network is powered by informational technological intensity and services to knowledge intensive, externalities that different from knowledge externalities. companies can choose to integrate in order to share the costs and risks.

TABLE II MAIN ACTIVITIES by AREA

1111	MIN ACTIVITI	Eb by THEET		-
	Zone			
Activité Principale	Charguia	El	Berg	Total
_		Ghazala	du Lac	
1Telecom Industry	4	8	4	16
2. Computer	2	1	1	4
manufacturer				
3. SSII	6	11	7	24
4. Software	3	2	9	14
Publisher				
5. Other computer	8	3	9	20
companies,Internet				
6. Audiovisual	0	1	1	2
7. Electronics	0	0	1	1
industry				
8. Call centers	7	1	1	9
9. Research center	1	0	0	1
10. Others	3	0	0	3
Total	34	27	33	94

Pearson chi2(18)=31,432 et Prob=0,026

In fact, we note that the choice of the area is strongly linked to the main business of the company. The area is considered as a determining factor for the choice of the company's business. Based on the calculated probability, we find that we must reject the null hypothesis with an error risk of 5%. We can conclude with a confidence level of 95% that the choice of the main activity was significantly related to the

A third result concerns the agglomeration of a large part of the activities of ICT which is mainly built on the basis of an access to a local knowledge network policy rather than a contamination of behavior. Indeed, the choice of local partner is mainly motivated by the geographical requirement (11 companies) by the financial / business requirement (21 companies) and membership in a network (19 companies).

The presence of these factors makes it possible for companies to join networks of innovators through low transaction costs. It also provides financial benefits by sharing costs and risks, and by larger collective investments.

The image effect is associated with a winning region. Companies may be sensitive to different reasons for localization. Some seek above all to reduce their production cost, including choosing a developed area (27 companies), and where the rent cost is low (51 companies). Others come to the territory to benefit from the accessibility and availability of

this case, the economies of cities are powered by informational

From this point of view, if the geographical

TABLE III ChOOSING LOCAL PARTNER

Group	Choice of local partner				
	No answ er	geographi c requirem ent	Financial / business requirem ent	Belongi ng to a network	To tal
0	17	4	9	4	34
1	22	11	12	15	60
Total	39	15	21	19	94

Pearson chi2(3)=3,8042 et Prob=0,283

The two variables choice of local partner and group are significantly independent. In other words, the survey shows that companies significantly have the same attitude to the choice of local partner whatever their group or not.

A fourth result shows the cluster development brake is - to - say the factors preventing companies to belong to a group. Companies that reported having encountered difficulties in integrating into a group identified the following factors:

- The absence of complementary activities such as the surface treatment and industrial services outsourcing nearby available to businesses.

The measures designed to improve the spontaneous dissemination of information between companies may prove effective in the presence of complementary activities. The effects of spillovers that follow will ensure the dissemination of this new knowledge and skills in the cluster through demonstration effects, by an accumulation of know-how in the industry, by labor movements between companies, or by the flow of information and knowledge.

The concept of complementarity, developed in particular by Milgrom and Roberts (1990), is based on the idea that two activities are complementary if increasing a increases the efficiency of the use of the other. In particular, they showed that the successful adoption of new technology depends on its association with the implementation of new organizational and policy practices related to the production process, marketing and engineering.

For relations with universities or public research organizations, the empirical literature is also shared on the positive effect of cooperation on innovation performance (Loof and Brostrom, 2008 Cassia et al 2009).

- The absence of Complementary skills. Diseconomies related to proximity and competition result in labor shortages and a real difficulty in recruiting qualified staff.

The complementarity in terms of technological components is based on an assembly of expertise required for

Vol.4, 2016 - pp. 1-6

transfer that operates in three ways: the labor movement among creation and innovation. qualified firms; fairs and forums for exchanges between enterprises; and the interactions between users and producers. research centers, universities, funding agencies are the factors Knowledge spillovers from the effects of technology transfers of the consistency of the cluster (J. Prager, 2008). The interact with economies of scale, coverage and transaction.

resources available for technological improvements.

market can provide a minimum demand induced innovation present in the context in which they operate. and technological efforts to develop these innovations. The demand, which lowers the price of specialized inputs.

Table IV CLUSTER DEVELOPMENT BRAKES

Group	0	1	Total
Constraints			
Lack of complementarity of	8	15	23
activities			
Lack of complementary skills	6	17	23
Too strong competition	10	13	23
Relations			
Size limited local market	10	15	25
Total	34	60	94

Pearson chi2(3)=1,7229 et Prob=0,632

In this case, the assumption of independence is retained. Both variables and brake development group are significantly independent. The assessment on the group membership is independent of constraints. In other words, companies have significantly the same attitude when faced clusters. with constraints whatever their membership in a group or not.

IV. CONCLUSION

The objective of this study is to highlight the cluster determinants Tunisia. Theoretical analysis clarified the one hand , the cluster concept considered a geographical and extranets and media) - but the lack of relationships concentration of firms belonging to the same economic sector, involved in a partnership approach or localized nature between on the other hand, the main determinants of clusters namely higher education, research centers, training and industry body, proximity geography, the existence of shared business services to allow to carry out scientific and technical projects. The , the sectoral dimension and collaboration of stakeholders on absence of complementary activities, complementary skills and innovation issues. The combination of different forms of size of local market are limited among the cluster development proximity (cognitive, organizational, social, institutional), brakes.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Angeon, De l'explicitation du rôle des relations sociales dans les mécanismes de développement territorial. Revue d'Economie Régionale et proximité, Hermès, p. 77-99. Cohen W.M., 2004. .
- [2] Bocquet Rachel, Mothe Caroline. Knowledge governance within clusters: The case of small firms. Knowledge Management Research and Practice, 8(3), 229-239, 2010.
- [3] Borràs S, Tsagdis D, Cluster Policies in Europe, Firms, Institutions, and une analyse stratégique. Géographie, Economie et Société, 2006. Governance (Edward Elgar, Cheltenham), 2008.
- [4] Boshma, R, Proximity and Innovation: A Critical Assessment. Regional Studies, 1: 61-74, 2005.

example in the framework of a project. It promotes technology called organized proximity helps to promote knowledge

The presence of institutions such as technical or grouping of companies on territory and in a given field of -The Strong competitive relationship. Excessive technology should be studied as a social phenomenon while competition between small not able to differentiate their consolidating the triptych university / research / industry; in products businesses can lead to lower margins, leaving few this case, economic relations should be embedded in social networks. Today, companies that choose to belong to a group, - The made size of the local market. A large local must create and develop their business by seeking resources

Empirically, we used data from a survey conducted by specialized local companies are attracted by the strong local the basic IRMC in 2007, covering a sample of 94 Tic companies in Greater Tunis. This survey provided a wealth of information relating to principal activities of enterprises (Telecoms Industry, Computer Manufacturer, SSII, Software Publisher, Other computer companies and Internet Audiovisual Industry Electronics Call Centers, Research Center) and many informations about structural characteristics of these companies, the reasons of location, the choice of partnership, the incentive- institutions and the factors slowing the cluster creation. These companies located in three zones may form technology clusters that are part of the dynamics of development of these areas.

> The study of Tic sector seems a good methodological choice because many companies in this sector are located in areas hoping withdraw gains related to the availability of a skilled workforce, quality infrastructure and support local institutions. Through the survey results and models of clusters adopted in developed countries, it is possible to provide some answers on current public programs aimed at developing

> The lack of attractiveness of ICT Tunisian companies to form clusters, is not due to a lack of infrastructure adapted to Tunisia is one of the best endowed countries in telecommunication infrastructures in Africa (both rise mobile telephony, broadband internet (poorly developed), intranets

- [5] Bouba-Olga Olivier, Zimmermann Jean-Benoît, Modèles et mesures de la proximité, in Pecqueur B. et Zimmermann J.-B. (eds.), Economies de
- Brenner, T. and A. Mühlig. Factors and Mechanisms Causing the Emergence of Local Industrial Clusters—A Meta-Study of 159 Cases, mimeo, Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena, 2007.
- [7] Carluer F., Samson I. Réseaux d'entreprise et dynamiques territoriales :
- [8] Cohen W M., Fifty Years of Empirical Studies of Innovative Activity and Performances, Handbook of Economics of Innovation, vol.1, North
- [9] Cohen W.M., Empirical studies of innovative activity, in Stonemazn (ed) Handbook of Innovation and technological change, Blackwell, 1995.

Vol.4, 2016 - pp. 1-6

- perennité. RERU N°2-2000.
- économiques de l'innovation. Bilan du programme CNRS. Revue d'économie Physica-Verlag. Heidelberg, New-York, 2003. politique, 114(2):133-168., 2004.
- [12] Gilly J.-P. et A. Torre (éds.), Dynamique de proximité, Paris, L'Harmattan., 2000.
- [13] Courlet C., Ferguene. A., Globalisation et territoire: le cas des SPL dans Economica, 1995. les pays en développement. Facef Pesquisa Vol 6/n°3, 2003.
- 1999.
- [15] Gilly J.-P. et Y. Lung., Proximités, secteurs, territoires, Quatrième Journées de la Proximité Proximité, réseaux et coordination, Marseille, Juin, 2004
- [16] Grossetti M. et Bes M.P., Encastrements et découplages dans les relations science-industrie, Revue Française de Sociologie, 42, 2, 327-355., 2001.
- [17] Loilier, T. et A. Tellier., Comment peut-on se faire confiance sans se voir ? Le cas du développement des logiciels libres, M@n@gement, 7 : 3, 275- [29] Torre A., Clusters et systèmes locaux d'innovation : retour critique sur 306., 2004.
- Levinthal D.A, Innovation and learning: the two faces of R & D, The Economic Journal, 99(3), 569-596, 1989
- [18] Mendez, A., Quelles articulations entre les pôles de compétitivité et les tissus productifs régionaux ? Une mise en perspectives de quatre pôles en Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur. Rapport technique, LEST., 2008.
- [19] Menu S., Les pôles de compétitivité, un nouveau pilotage de la politique industrielle? Bilan en Ile-de-France, Politiques et Management Public 28(3),
- [20] ONUDI., Diffusion de la démarche cluster dans trois pays du Maghreb (ALGÉRIE - MAROC - TUNISIE), 2013.

- [10] DE Bernardy. M., Système local d'Innovation: Facteurs de cohésion et de [21] Paulette POMMIER., Vers un modèle de cluster maghrébin spécifique, Institut de prospective économique du monde méditerranéen, 2014.
 - Encaoua, D., Foray, D., Hatchuel, A. et Mairesse, J., Les enjeux [22] Preissl, B. et L. Solimene., The Dynamics of Clusters and Innovation.
 - [23] Rallet A. et A. Torre, *Proximité et localisation*, Economie rurale, n° 280, mars avril, pp. 25-41., 2004.
 - [24] Rallet, A. et A. Torre., Economie industrielle et économie spatiale, Paris,
- [25] Rosenthal, Stuart S. and William Strange., Evidence on the nature and [14] Gilly J.-P. et Torre A., Dynamiques de proximité, L'Harmattan, Paris., sources of agglomeration economies. In Vernon Henderson and Jacques-François Thisse (eds.) Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics, volume 4. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2119-2171., 2004.
 - [26] SCHMITZ H., NADVI K, Clustering and Industrialization. World Development, vol 27, n°9, 1999.
 - [28] Suire, Raphaël.; Vicente, Jérôme., Théorie économique des clusters et management des réseaux d'entreprises innovantes, Revue Française de Gestion, 4(184), 119-136., 2008.
 - les hypothèses, 2006.
 - [30] Torre A., Retour sur la notion de Proximité Géographique., Géographie Économie 2009
 - [31] Torre, André, On the Role Played by Temporary Geographical Proximity in Knowledge Transmission, Regional Studies, 42(6), 869-889., 2008
 - [32] Torre, André.; Rallet, André., Proximity and localization, Regional Studies, 39(1), 47-60., 2005.
 - [33] Zimmermann J.B., Grappes d'entreprise et petits mondes. Revue Economique, vol.53,