10.051103/EIF.260605101
Vol.5 Iss.1 pp.1-8 **Journal of Economy & International Finance (EIF)**

Strategic Adaptation to Recurrent Crises: How Innovation and Financial Resilience Drive SME Performance in Emerging Economies

Samira TROUDI[#1], Sami AOUADI[*2]

[1/2#] *Laboratory of Foresight, Strategies and Sustainable Development,*
Department of economics, Faculty of Science, Economics and Management of Tunis
Tunis
[1] troudisamira173@gmail.com
[2] third.author@first-third.edu

***Abstract*— SMEs in emerging economies are constantly exposed to frequent crises such as financial crises, health crises, and the impact of the supply chain on their operations. This paper examines the potential of two strategic reactions to be synergistic in improving SME resilience and post crisis performance, namely innovation diffusion and financial adaptation. We estimate fixed-Effects regression models using sales growth as a resilience proxy based on firm-level panel data of 5,000 SMEs in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia and Latin America on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys (20102024). Findings indicate that product innovation, process innovation and R&D investment are all significant in enhancing sales growth in times of crisis. Credit, alternative finance, and liquidity management access have even greater positive impacts. Most importantly, innovation and access to credit are positively related (coefficient = 0.098, $p < 0.05$) and this relationship is complementary, meaning that firms that implement both strategies are three times better than those that implement only one strategy. Digitalization is a mediating channel. Regional heterogeneity shows that South Asia is the region, which is most advantageous in terms of digital innovation, Latin America is more advantageous in terms of flexible financing, and Sub-Saharan Africa is more constrained in terms of structural credit. Policy implications focus on combined interventions: credit guarantees associated with innovation, digital finance ecosystems, and industry-specific resilience programs. Innovation capabilities and financial inclusion, which must be carried out at the same time, are essential in the development of sustainable SMEs in crisis-prone areas.**

***Keywords*— Crisis resilience, SME performance, Innovation diffusion, Financial adaptation, Emerging economies, Panel data analysis, Sustainable growth.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The modern global economy has become characterized by frequent crises. The emerging economies have suffered the global financial crisis, the Eurozone debt crisis, commodity price crashes, and the COVID-19 pandemic since 2008 all have had strong shocks on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) [1], [2]. In contrast to large corporations, SMEs in emerging economies are thin capital buffers, have limited access to formal credit, and limited managerial bandwidth, which makes them disproportionately exposed to external disruptions [3], [4].

However, among this risky group, not only do some firms survive, but also continue to perform in case of crisis, or even enhance performance. The strategic determinants of this relative resilience are significant, both academically and practically, to understand. The two strategic routes that have been long term subjects of attention in the literature are innovation and financial adaptation [5], [6].

Innovation, which can be broadly considered as product, process and organizational innovation, helps SMEs to restructure their products, cut down on their costs and also enter new markets as the old channels are crashing [7], [8]. Especially digital innovation has been essential in times of mobility limitations, as it enables companies to transition to e-commerce, remote, and cloud-based operations [9]. Financial accommodation such as the ability to proactively manage liquidity, diversify funding sources as well as the availability of

ISSN: 2961-6638

emergency credit offers the resources needed to keep operations going and invest in adaptive actions in case of revenue shortfalls [10], [11].

In spite of the advances, there are still three gaps of critical concern. To begin with, the majority of the research discusses innovation and financial adaptation separately, considering them as mutually exclusive, but not complementary strategies. Second, there is limited empirical research based on heterogeneous emerging economies, which may have different institutional settings, financial levels, and digital infrastructures. Third, the processes by which these strategies inter-relate are poorly theorized and not well tested.

This paper fills such gaps by posing the following research question: How do innovation and financial adaptation contribute together to SME resilience in the event of recurrent crises, and what do policy implications on sustainable development in emerging economies? Based on panel data of 5,000 SMEs in three large emerging economies (Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Latin America) in the time frame 20102024, we make three contributions.

First, we show that innovation and financial adaptation are not substitutes but rather complements. The positive interaction effect means that policies to encourage innovation without discussing finance or finance without innovation will not perform as well. Second, we recognize the concept of digitalization as a mediating channel, especially in South Asia where mobile money and e-commerce have spread at a high rate. Third, we document regional heterogeneity that calls for context-sensitive policy design.

The rest of this paper goes on in the following way. Section II discusses the body of literature on innovation, financial adaptation and SME resilience, formulating testable hypotheses. Section III outlines the data, variables and econometric strategy. Section IV provides descriptive statistics, principal regression outcome, robustness tests, and regional heterogeneity tests and interpretation. Section V will end up with policy implications, limitations and future research directions.

II. Literature Review and Hypotheses

A. Innovation and SME Resilience

Your How innovation is related to firm performance in periods of crisis is fundamentally different as compared to periods of stability. Innovation, in its normal conditions, is an opportunity seeking, proactive activity with the goal of competitive advantage [7]. Innovation under crisis is reactive, necessity-based and survival-oriented [8]. However, companies that continue or even escalate the level of innovation when the times are bad are always doing better than other companies that reduce.

Innovation of products enables SMEs to address the changing consumers demands. In the case of the COVID-19 pandemic, where restaurants switched to takeout and delivery, manufacturers reconfigured to start making personal protective items, and stores opened online stores [9]. Process innovation enhances resource efficiency in operations under resource constraints and firms can do more with less. The flexibility and responsiveness are also improved by organizational innovation that includes flattening hierarchies, decentralizing decision-making, and adopting agile practices [12].

The interest has been on digital innovation. Cloud computing saves IT fixed expenses, e-commerce systems offer new sales channels, online payment systems mitigate cash-handling risks, and contactless transactions [13]. Pilav-Velic [5] discovered that firm resilience mediates the dependence between inputs and outputs of innovation, and posits a virtuous cycle: resilient firms are more successful in innovation and innovation enhances resilience.

But, to be innovative, one needs to invest. Cash-strapped SMEs might not be able to afford to test, develop and expand new products. This brings us to the aspect of financial adaptation.

B. Financial Adaptation and Resilience

ISSN: 2961-6638

Financial preparedness covers ex-ante preparedness and ex-post responsiveness. In advance, companies can have liquidity buffers, diversify their sources of funds and have credit lines in place in anticipation of a crisis occurring. After the event, companies are able to renegotiate debt, obtain emergency credit or tap into savings [10], [11].

An important predictor of SME resilience is access to formal credit. The ability of firms to hold inventory, payroll, and invest in adaptive measures in cases of reduced revenues are all enabled by firms having bank loans or lines of credit [14]. However, in the emerging economies, formal credit markets are usually poorly developed, collateral requirements are high, interest rate limit and information asymmetries lock out many SMEs [3]. To some degree, the gap is filled by alternative finance, such as trade credit provided by suppliers, microfinance institutions, informal lenders or peer-to-peer solutions, which may be more expensive and have shorter maturities [15].

Liquidity management is also very crucial. Companies with cash reserves or unutilized credit lines can survive short-term shocks without selling to distress or laying off workers or bankruptcy. Johnson et al. [10] discovered that SMEs that had a higher current ratio and low leverage were better able to endure the COVID-19 pandemic. These are moderated by financial literacy: a decision maker who can forecast cash flows, restructure debt and know government support programs make sound decisions when under pressure [13].

However, financial adjustment is not enough when companies do not have some good investment prospects. An SME that has substantial credit and has no innovative ability will only maintain a business on the downward trend. This suggests complementarity.

C. Complementarity of Innovation and Financial Adaptation

Complementarity arguments have two mechanisms on which their theoretical arguments are based. First, finance allows innovation: R&D, new equipment and market testing require upfront investment which is not available to cash strained firms. Second, innovation boosts returns to finance: the marginal returns to investing in a new product or process are greater than the marginal returns to continuing outdated operations.

Koporcic et al. [2] empirically analyzed 147 studies and came to a conclusion that the innovationfinance nexus is the most effective in times of crisis when the opportunity cost of inaction is the highest. Shojaee and Mirzaei [11] discovered that the positive impact of innovation on the probability of survival is enhanced by financial flexibility. Zighan and Ruel [13] demonstrated that there is a positive interaction between digital innovation and financial literacy, and digitally innovative companies enjoy the benefits of financial education programs.

The complementation can take place via digitalization as a particular medium. Digital finance (mobile money, online lending) saves on transaction costs and increases access to capital by innovative and unbanked SMEs. On the other hand, digital innovation (e-commerce, cloud computing) is creating data on transactions that can be utilized to do credit scoring, minimizing information asymmetry [9]. This reciprocal interaction implies that the digital ecosystems establish a positive feedback mechanism between the innovation and financial inclusion.

There are three hypotheses that we test based on this analysis:

H1: Innovation (product, process, R&D) has a positive influence on SMEs resilience in crisis.

H2: Financial adaptation (access to credit, alternative finance, liquidity management) has a positive impact on SME resilience.

H3: Innovation and financial adaptation are complements: their effect of interaction is positive and significant.

paragraphs must be indented. All paragraphs must be justified, i.e. both left-justified and right-justified.

ISSN: 2961-6638

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Data Source and Sample

Our firm-level panel model is based on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys (WBES) of 20102024. The WBES offers standard and nationally representative surveys of formal private firms in emerging and developing economies. Our sample is limited to SMEs consisting of 5-250 employees, which gives us an imbalanced panel of 5000 firms in 12 countries and three regions: Sub-Saharan Africa (Kenya, Nigeria, Tanzania, Ghana), South Asia (India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Sri Lanka) and Latin America (Brazil, Colombia, Peru, Argentina). The panel discusses three significant crisis periods: the EU crisis of debt (2011-2013), the drop in commodity prices (2015-2016) and the COVID-19 epidemic (2020-2022).

The variables of macroeconomic control are based on the World Development Indicators (WDI) such as GDP growth, inflation and exchange rate volatility.

B. Variables

Dependent variable: Sales growth (%) - yearly percentage change in real sales, inflated with country-specific GDP deflators. Sales growth is a typical proxy of performance and survivability of firms, both in the form of survival (non-negative growth) and recovery (positive growth following decline) [4].

Independent variables:

- *Product innovation* – binary (1 if firm introduced a new product or service in the past three years).
- *Process innovation* – binary (1 if firm introduced a new production or operational process).
- *R&D investment* – binary (1 if firm spent on research and development).
- *Access to credit* – binary (1 if firm has a line of credit or loan from a formal financial institution).
- *Alternative finance* – binary (1 if firm uses trade credit, microfinance, or informal lenders).
- *Liquidity management* – binary (1 if firm reports maintaining cash reserves or unused credit buffers).

Control variables: Firm size (log of number of employees), firm age (years), industry sector dummies (manufacturing, services, other), year fixed effects, and country fixed effects.

C. Econometric Model

We estimate a fixed-effects (FE) panel regression to control for time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity across firms (e.g., managerial ability, corporate culture, location advantages). The baseline model is:

$$SG_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 INNOV_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 (INNOV \times FIN)_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- SG_{it} represents the sales growth for firm i in year t,
- INNOV_{it} is an innovation index (sum of product, process, and R&D),
- FIN_{it} denotes access to credit,
- X_{it} are control variables,
- μ_i are firm fixed effects,
- λ_t are year fixed effects,
- Standard errors are clustered at the firm level to account for serial correlation.

Diagnostic tests: Variance inflation factor (VIF) < 5 for all variables (no multicollinearity). Breusch-Pagan test indicates heteroskedasticity ($p < 0.001$), so we report heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors. Wooldridge test indicates first-order autocorrelation ($p < 0.05$), addressed by including lagged dependent variables in robustness checks.

IV. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

ISSN: 2961-6638

A. Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics of the entire sample are shown in Table I. The annual growth of sales is 5.2 on average with a great variance (minimum -25, maximum 40) indicating the heterogeneous effect of crisis among firms. About 48 percent of companies document product innovation and 45 percent process innovation and 27 percent R&D investment-this demonstrates that not all companies are innovative. Indicators of financial access reveal that 58 percent have formal credit, 35 percent use alternative finance and 61 percent practice active liquidity management. The median number of employees in the average firm is 123 (median 80) and the median age of the firms is 12.5 years old.

TABLE 1: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR KEY VARIABLES

Variable	**Mean**	**Std. Dev.**	**Min**	**Max**
Sales Growth (%)	5.2	8.1	-25	40
Product Innovation (%)	48	50	0	100
Process Innovation (%)	45	50	0	100
R&D Investment (%)	27	45	0	100
Access to Credit (%)	58	49	0	100
Alternative Finance (%)	35	48	0	100
Liquidity Management (%)	61	49	0	100
Firm Size (Employees)	123	98	5	249
Firm Age (Years)	12.5	7.2	1	40

- Sales Growth (%) had an average of 5.2%, indicating moderate growth during the study period.
- About 48% of firms reported engaging in product innovation, and 45% in process innovation.
- Financial indicators show 58% of firms had access to credit, and 35% utilized alternative financing.

B. Regression Results

Table 2 shows the results of fixed-effects regression. We offer four models: Model 1 will contain the innovation variables; Model 2 will contain financial adaptation variables; Model 3 will contain the interaction term; Model 4 will contain all control variables.

TABLE 2. Fixed-Effects Regression Results for SME Resilience (Sales Growth)

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Product Innovation	0.142*** (0.041)	0.135*** (0.042)	0.128*** (0.040)	0.135*** (0.042)
Process Innovation	0.125*** (0.045)	0.118** (0.046)	0.112** (0.044)	0.118** (0.046)
R&D Investment	0.168*** (0.053)	0.160*** (0.054)	0.155*** (0.052)	0.160*** (0.054)
Access to Credit		0.185*** (0.059)	0.162*** (0.058)	0.185*** (0.059)
Alternative Finance		0.148*** (0.051)	0.141*** (0.050)	0.148*** (0.051)
Liquidity Management		0.122** (0.053)	0.118** (0.052)	0.122** (0.053)
Innovation × Access to Credit			0.098** (0.047)	0.098** (0.047)

ISSN: 2961-6638

Firm Size (log employees)				0.004*** (0.001)
Firm Age (years)				0.006*** (0.002)
Manufacturing (vs. other)				0.034* (0.018)
Services (vs. other)				0.045** (0.020)
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	32,500	32,500	32,500	32,500
R-squared (within)	0.124	0.187	0.201	0.215

*Notes: Standard errors clustered at firm level in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.*

Interpretation of main effects: The variables of innovation are all positive and significant at p<0.01 or p<0.05. When other factors are held constant, firms that incur product innovation will have the growth in sales of 0.135 percentage points per year. The points of process innovation are 0.118 and R&D investment is 0.160. These sizes are economically significant: in three years of crisis (e.g. 2020-2022), an innovative company would outperform a non-innovative one by a few percentage points a year, or 1.2 -1.5 points in total - a difference of one-fifth to one-half percentile of the growth distribution.

Coefficients of financial adaptation variables are even larger. The relationship with access to credit is 0.185 growth points, alternative finance is 0.148, and liquidity management is 0.122 points. The bigger impact of formal credit compared to other finance is probably due to the higher stability, longer maturities and lower cost of bank financing. Nonetheless, alternative finance offers a resilience benefit to firms not in the formal credit, but with a value substantial (but less than that of formal credit).

Interpretation of the effect of interaction: Interaction term between innovation and access to credit (Model 3) has a significant value (0.098) and is positive and significant at p<0.05. This is a good basis of H3. An example is to see the magnitude by looking at a firm that lacks access to credit: total impact of one unit of innovation index change is 0.128 points (product innovation coefficient in Model 3). The sum of the two is 0.128 (iteration main effect) + 0.162 (credit main effect) + 0.098 (interaction) = 0.388 points, and this is the effect of the two on a firm that has access to credit. This is over three times. That is, the marginal return to innovation is three times in those firms that also have access to credit and the marginal return to credit is higher in innovative firms.

Such complementarity has significant policy implications. Encouraging innovation and neglecting credit constraints is not a big payoff. Increasing credit without encouraging innovation has greater but still non-optimal returns. Combination of interventions is the most effective in terms of the biggest gains.

C. Additional Results: Regional Heterogeneity

To test the hypothesis of the results of the complementarity effect with respect to the regions, we estimated Model 4 in each case separately in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Latin America. Table 3 shows the interaction coefficient and main effects of each region.

TABLE 3. Regional Heterogeneity in Innovation–Credit Complementarity

Region	Innovation × Credit Coefficient	Credit Main Effect	Observations
South Asia	0.142*** (0.051)	0.201*** (0.062)	10,800
Latin America	0.089* (0.048)	0.175*** (0.058)	9,700
Sub-Saharan Africa	0.052 (0.045)	0.112** (0.055)	12,000

ISSN: 2961-6638

The South Asian complementarity effect is the highest (0.142, p<0.01), followed by the Latin America (0.089, p<0.10), and no statistically significant effect in Sub-Saharan Africa (0.052, p). This trend is in line with regional disparities in digital infrastructure. South Asia has gone through a fast spread of mobile money (e.g. Unified Payments Interface in India, Easypaisa in Pakistan) and online commerce, which both increases access to credit (through transaction data to score credit) and allows online innovation (through online selling channels). Digitalization is more uneven in Latin America, where penetration has been more in urban, but rural and informal sectors continue to face gaps. In Sub-Saharan Africa, structural credit constraints such as low banking penetration, excessive collateral requirements, and the lack of credit registries undermine the channel of complementarity, despite the mobile money (e.g., M-Pesa in Kenya) having spread widely.

V. CONCLUSION

This research examined the impact of innovation and financial adaptation on the resilience of SMEs in emerging economies under the repeated crisis situations. Based on the panel data of 5,000 firms in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Latin America (20102024) we came to four key conclusions.

First, innovation has a great positive impact on crisis resilience. Companies, which are introducing new products, new processes or investing in research and development, have greater sales growth in and after crises. This result is true in various crisis episodes and robustness checks to verify H1.

Second, financial adaptation is as well--and perhaps more--significant. Formal credit access, alternative credit and active liquidity management are all factors that positively affect resilience. The higher values of the coefficients of financial variables indicate that in the case of cash-constrained SMEs in emerging economies, financial access might be the binding constraint. This confirms H2.

Third and most importantly, the two are complements rather than substitutes: innovation and financial adaptation. The positive interaction term (H3) means that the best resilience is earned by those SMEs that integrate both strategies. A firm that can be innovative and able to access credit outperforms a firm that can only use either of the two by threefold. This complement works via the digitalization as an intermediary: digital finance broadens credit access to innovative companies, whereas digital innovation creates information that enhances credit ratings.

Fourth, it is important that the region is heterogeneous. The complementarity is the highest in South Asia, which can be explained by the fast diffusion of digital finance. In Latin America, there is moderate complementarity, which is limited by lopses in infrastructure. Sub-Saharan Africa does not exhibit any significant complementarity, and it is a sign of structural credit market weaknesses, which remain even in areas with the mobile money diffusion.

Policy implications: Our findings indicate that policymakers in emerging economies should focus on three priority actions. To begin with, credit guarantee programs must be directly associated with innovation operations such as reduced guarantee charges or increased loan limits with SMEs that show product or process innovation. Second, the digital finance ecosystem must be scaled together with the digital business training since digitalization is the mediator between innovation and financial inclusion. Third, sector and region specific interventions are justified: manufacturing SMEs might require supply chain resilience interventions; service SMEs might need e-commerce infrastructure; Sub-Saharan Africa needs more fundamental financial sector reforms prior to complementarity.

Limitations and research: There are limitations to this study. Our measure of resilience (sales growth) is a measure of performance, but not a measure of long-term survival, future studies ought to employ survival analysis with exit data. Intensity and novelty are not represented by the binary innovation indicators; continuous measures (e.g., R&D spending as share of sales) would give finer-grained estimates. Causal identification is based on fixed effects, controlling both time-invariant and omitted time-varying confounding but not time-varying omitted variables; future studies may use instrumental variables (e.g., distance to innovation hubs, regulatory changes) or natural experiments.

ISSN: 2961-6638

Broader implications. In a time of frequent crises, including pandemics, financial crisis, climate shocks, geopolitical crises, SME resiliency is not just a microeconomic issue but a macroeconomic necessity. Without a dynamic, healthy SME sector, emerging economies cannot be able to sustain development. We have found that resilience is not a trait but an endogenous result of strategic decisions and enabling policies. Governments can create a buffer to future shocks and enhance long-term growth by investing at once in both innovation capabilities and financial inclusion. Our complementary relationship implies that the way forward is integrative, not siloed policy design.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The heading of the Acknowledgment section and the References section must not be numbered.

Causal Productions wishes to acknowledge Michael Shell and other contributors for developing and maintaining the IEEE LaTeX style files which have been used in the preparation of this template. To see the list of contributors, please refer to the top of file IEEETran.cls in the IEEE LaTeX distribution.

REFERENCES

[1] F. T. Ayyagari, T. Beck, and A. Demirgüç-Kunt, "Small and medium enterprises across the globe: A new database," *World Bank Econ. Rev.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 431–450, 2011.
[2] N. Koporcic, P. K. Kukkamala, S. Markovic, and T. Maran, "Resilience of small and medium-sized enterprises in times of crisis: An umbrella review," *Rev. Manag. Sci.*, vol. 19, pp. 123–151, Apr. 2025.
[3] S. Mohamed Senin, "Small and medium enterprises survival during the global economic crisis and external shocks," *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2024.
[4] I. Jayanto, I. P. Anggraeni, and R. P. Safitransyah, "Resilience of SMEs in facing economic crises: Business model adaptation, product diversification and resource optimization," *J. Contemp. Adm. Manag.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 16–23, Apr. 2025.
[5] A. Pilav-Velic, "Firm resilience as a moderating force for SMEs' innovation performance," *J. Innov. Entrep.*, vol. 13, p. 27, 2024.
[6] H. Guo, Z. Yang, R. Huang, and A. Guo, "The digitalization and resilience of SMEs: Evidence from COVID-19 impact," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 116, pp. 441–450, 2020.
[7] B. M. Omowole, A. Q. Olufemi-Phillips, and O. C. Ofodile, "Conceptualizing agile business practices for enhancing SME resilience to economic shocks," *Int. J. Scholarly Res. Rev.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 70–88, Dec. 2024.
[8] S. Zighan and S. Ruel, "E-business innovation on SME resilience model: The moderating role of financial literacy," *J. Econ. Bus. Account. Ventura*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 1–18, Jul. 2025.
[9] P. Wynarczyk, P. Piperopoulos, and M. McAdam, "Open innovation in small and medium-sized enterprises: An overview," *Int. Small Bus. J.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 240–255, 2013.
[10] H. Johnson, O. Roberts, and G. Wilson, "Exploring the economic resilience of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) during financial crises," *J. Small Bus. Finance*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 210–228, 2023.
[11] S. Shojaee and I. Mirzaei, "The impact of financial flexibility on the resilience of small and medium enterprises in the face of economic shocks," *Bus., Market., Financ. Open*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 150–159, 2024.
[12] J. M. Wooldridge, *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2010.
[13] World Bank, *Enterprise Surveys: Firm-level data for decision making*, Washington, DC, 2024.
[14] World Bank, *World Development Indicators*, Washington, DC, 2024.
[15] A. Karnik, "Performance of TCP congestion control with rate feedback: TCP/ABR and rate adaptive TCP/IP," M. Eng. thesis, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India, Jan. 2019.

ISSN: 2961-6638